



## Erratum to: “Random walks on weighted, oriented percolation clusters”

published in ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **13**, 53–77 (2016)

**Katja Miller**

Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr.  
3, 85748 Garching, Germany

*E-mail address:* [katja.miller@tum.de](mailto:katja.miller@tum.de)

*URL:* <http://www-m14.ma.tum.de/en/people/miller/>

**Abstract.** It was pointed out to me by Matthias Birkner and Sebastian Steiber that the convergence in Equation (4.3) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  may not hold, since  $Z_n = Z_n(m)$  is a function of  $m$  and not constant. In fact, the convergence cannot hold, as already the fourth moment  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^4(m)]$  grows too fast in  $m$  to be compensated by the mixing coefficients, as can be seen by comparison with an i.i.d. sequence. As a consequence the annealed central limit Theorem (aCLT), Theorem 1.3, does not hold in the full generality claimed. The limit law is only non-degenerate for weights  $K$ , which are  $\phi$ -mixing with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-(2+\delta)})$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Thus the correct result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** (Annealed CLT for polynomially time-mixing weights). *Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $p \in (p_c, 1)$ . If  $K$  is independent of  $\omega$ , strictly positive, stationary and  $\phi$ -mixing in the time coordinate with mixing coefficients  $\phi_n \in \mathcal{O}(n^{-(2+\delta)})$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then an aCLT holds, i.e. for all continuous and bounded functions  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \left[ f \left( \frac{(X_n - n\vec{\mu})}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(f), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\vec{\mu}$  is the same drift vector as in Lemma (1.2),  $\Phi(f) := \int f(x)\Phi(dx)$  and  $\Phi$  is a non-trivial centred  $d$ -dimensional Gaussian law with full rank covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .

The proof for the corrected statement needs a slightly stronger statement in Lemma (3.1). The process  $(Y_n, \tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $\phi$ -mixing (and not only  $\alpha$ -mixing) with respect to the law  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ .

---

*Received by the editors January 18th, 2017.*

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05, 60K35, 60K37.

*Key words and phrases.* Oriented percolation, random walks in random environment, central limit theorem, mixing conditions.

Research supported by Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes.

**Lemma 3.1** (Increments of the random walk are ergodic). *Let  $d \geq 1$ ,  $K$  be independent of  $\omega$ , stationary and  $\phi$ -mixing in the time coordinate with mixing coefficients  $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then the process  $(Y_n, \tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is stationary and  $\phi$ -mixing with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  with mixing coefficients*

$$(\phi_n^X)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\phi_{2mn} + 2\alpha_{2mn}^P)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\alpha_n^P = Ce^{-cn}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are the mixing coefficients for  $\xi^P$  from Lemma (2.1), Equation (2.2).

### Proof

*Proof of Lemma 3.1:* The proof requires only slight modifications from the proof of old Lemma (3.1) to strengthen the result to the new claim. Denote by  $\mathcal{W}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all possible paths of the random walk, namely

$$\mathcal{W}_k^l := \sigma(\{(X_i(\omega), i)\}_{i=k}^l : \omega \in \Omega)$$

and  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0^\infty$ . Define the  $\phi$ -mixing coefficients for the process  $(X_{T_n} - X_{T_{n-1}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  similar to Equation (3.12)

$$\phi_n^X = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\substack{W \in \mathcal{W}, \\ A^N := W \cap \mathcal{W}_0^{T_N}, \\ B^N := W \cap \mathcal{W}_{T_N+n}^\infty}} \left| \frac{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(B^N \cap A^N)}{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A^N)} - \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(B^N) \right|.$$

We have to show that the error terms still converge if we divide by  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A^N) = \mathbb{P}(A^N)$ . The necessary estimate uses independence of the events  $A_{(x,l)}^N$  and  $\{\xi_l^P(x) = 1\}$  such that

$$\frac{\sum_{(x,l)} \mathbb{P}(A_{(x,l)}^N)}{\mathbb{P}(A^N)} = \frac{\sum_{(x,l)} \mathbb{P}(A_{(x,l)}^N \cap \{\xi_l^P(x) = 1\})}{\mathbb{P}(A^N) \mathbb{P}(\xi_l^P(x) = 1)} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_0)}.$$

This leads to new error bounds

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_0)} \frac{\sum_{(x,l)} \mathbb{P}(A_{(x,l)}^N) \phi_{2mn}}{\mathbb{P}(A^N)} \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_0)^2} \phi_{2mn}.$$

to replace Equation (3.14) and

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_0)} \frac{\sum_{(x,l)} \mathbb{P}(A_{(x,l)}^N) \alpha_{2mn}^P}{\mathbb{P}(A^N)} \leq \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(B_0)^2} \alpha_{2mn}^P.$$

to replace Equation (3.18).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3:* We need to show that the variance is strictly positive under the new assumptions. All other parts of the proof remain unchanged. We can now use Theorem 17.2.3 from [Ibragimov and Linnik \(1971\)](#) for uniformly mixing sequences, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0 Z_n] \right| &\leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2]^{1/2} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_n^2]^{1/2} (\phi_n^X)^{1/2} \\ &= 2 \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n^X)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum is finite, since the weights  $K$  are  $\phi$ -mixing with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-(2+\delta)})$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Furthermore, the sum can be made arbitrary small if we choose  $m$  large enough. Choose  $m$  so that  $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n^X)^{1/2}| < 1/4$ . Then the variance is strictly positive,

$$\sigma^2 = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2] + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0 Z_n] \geq \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2] \left( 1 - 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n^X)^{1/2} \right) > 0.$$

In this new estimate the term  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2]$  is still a function of  $m$ , but it appears as a factor and we only need to make sure that  $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Z_0^2(m)] > 0$  for any finite  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is true since the increments are not deterministic. The claim follows from the CLT for uniformly mixing sequences, Theorem 18.5.2 from [Ibragimov and Linnik \(1971\)](#).  $\square$

## References

- I. A. Ibragimov and Y. V. Linnik. *Independent and stationary sequences of random variables*. Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen (1971). [MR0322926](#).